

# Guide for the Design and Construction of Structural Concrete Reinforced with FRP Bars

Reported by ACI Committee 440

	John P. Busel Chair	Carol K. Shield* Secretary	
Tarek Alkhrdaji	Edward R. Fyfe	James Korff	Morris Schupack
Charles E. Bakis*	T. Russell Gentry	Michael W. Lee	David W. Scott
P. N. Balaguru	Janos Gergely	John Levar	Rajan Sen
Lawrence C. Bank	William J. Gold	Ibrahim M. Mahfouz	Khaled A. Soudki
Abdeldjelil Belarbi	Nabil F. Grace	Orange S. Marshall	Samuel A. Steere
Brahim Benmokrane	Mark F. Green	Amir Mirmiran	Robert Steffen
Gregg J. Blaszak	Zareh B. Gregorian	Ayman S. Mosallam	Gamil S. Tadros
Timothy E. Bradberry*	Doug. D. Gremel	Antonio Nanni*†	Jay Thomas
Gordon L. Brown	H.R. Trey Hamilton	Kenneth Neale	Houssam A. Toutanji
Vicki L. Brown	Issam E. Harik	John P. Newhook	J. Gustavo Tumialan
T. Ivan Campbell	Kent A. Harries	Max L. Porter	Milan Vatovec
Raafat El-Hacha	Mark P. Henderson	Mark Postma	Stephanie L. Walkup
Garth J. Fallis	Bohdan N. Horeczko	Hayder A. Rasheed	David White
Amir Z. Fam	Vistasp M. Karbhari	Sami H. Rizkalla	

\* Contributing authors. The committee also thanks Robert J. Frosch, Shawn Gross, Renato Parretti, and Carlos E. Ospina for their contributions.

† Subcommittee Chair.

*Fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) materials have emerged as an alternative material for producing reinforcing bars for concrete structures. FRP reinforcing bars offer advantages over steel reinforcement in that FRP bars are noncorrosive, and some FRP bars are nonconductive. Due to other differences in the physical and mechanical behavior of FRP materials versus steel, unique guidance on the engineering and construction of concrete structures reinforced with FRP bars is needed. Other countries, such as Japan and Canada, have established design and construction guidelines specifically for the use of FRP bars as concrete reinforcement. This guide offers general information on the history and use of FRP reinforcement, a description of the unique material properties of FRP, and guidelines for the construction and design of structural concrete members reinforced with FRP bars. This guide is based on the knowledge gained from worldwide experimental research, analytical work, and field applications of FRP reinforcement.*

**keywords:** aramid fibers; carbon fibers; development length; fiber-reinforced polymers; flexure; glass fibers; moment; reinforcement; shear; slab; strength.

ACI Committee Reports, Guides, and Commentaries are intended for guidance in planning, designing, executing, and inspecting construction. This document is intended for the use of individuals who are competent to evaluate the significance and limitations of its content and recommendations and who will accept responsibility for the application of the material it contains. The American Concrete Institute disclaims any and all responsibility for the stated principles. The Institute shall not be liable for any loss or damage arising therefrom.

Reference to this document shall not be made in contract documents. If items found in this document are desired by the Architect/Engineer to be a part of the contract documents, they shall be restated in mandatory language for incorporation by the Architect/Engineer.

## CONTENTS

### Chapter 1—Introduction, p. 440.1R-2

- 1.1—Scope
- 1.2—Definitions
- 1.3—Notation
- 1.4—Applications and use

### Chapter 2—Background information, p. 440.1R-6

- 2.1—Historical development
- 2.2—Commercially available FRP reinforcing bars
- 2.3—History of use

### Chapter 3—Material characteristics, p. 440.1R-8

- 3.1—Physical properties
- 3.2—Mechanical properties and behavior
- 3.3—Time-dependent behavior
- 3.4—Effects of high temperatures and fire

### Chapter 4—Durability, p. 440.1R-13

ACI 440.1R-06 supersedes ACI 440.1R-03 and became effective February 10, 2006.  
Copyright © 2006, American Concrete Institute.

All rights reserved including rights of reproduction and use in any form or by any means, including the making of copies by any photo process, or by electronic or mechanical device, printed, written, or oral, or recording for sound or visual reproduction or for use in any knowledge or retrieval system or device, unless permission in writing is obtained from the copyright proprietors.

## **Chapter 5—Material requirements and testing, p. 440.1R-14**

- 5.1—Strength and modulus grades of FRP bars
- 5.2—Surface geometry
- 5.3—Bar sizes
- 5.4—Bar identification
- 5.5—Straight bars
- 5.6—Bent bars

## **Chapter 6—Construction practices, p. 440.1R-16**

- 6.1—Handling and storage of materials
- 6.2—Placement and assembly of materials
- 6.3—Quality control and inspection

## **Chapter 7—General design considerations, p. 440.1R-16**

- 7.1—Design philosophy
- 7.2—Design material properties

## **Chapter 8—Flexure, p. 440.1R-18**

- 8.1—General considerations
- 8.2—Flexural strength
- 8.3—Serviceability
- 8.4—Creep rupture and fatigue

## **Chapter 9—Shear, p. 440.1R-24**

- 9.1—General considerations
- 9.2—Shear strength of FRP-reinforced members
- 9.3—Detailing of shear stirrups
- 9.4—Shear strength of FRP-reinforced two-way concrete slabs

## **Chapter 10—Temperature and shrinkage reinforcement, p. 440.1R-27**

## **Chapter 11—Development and splices of reinforcement, p. 440.1R-28**

- 11.1—Development of stress in straight bar
- 11.2—Development length of bent bar
- 11.3—Development of positive moment reinforcement
- 11.4—Tension lap splice

## **Chapter 12—References, p. 440.1R-30**

- 12.1—Referenced standards and reports
- 12.2—Cited references

## **Chapter 13—Beam design example, p. 440.1R-38**

## **Appendix A—Slabs-on-ground, p. 440.1R-44**

- A.1—Design of plain concrete slabs
- A.2—Design of slabs with shrinkage and temperature reinforcement

### **CHAPTER 1—INTRODUCTION**

This is the third revision of the design and construction guide on fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) reinforcement for concrete structures. Many successful applications worldwide using FRP composite reinforcing bars during the past decade have demonstrated that it can be used successfully and practically. The professional using this technology

should exercise judgment as to the appropriate application of FRP reinforcement and be aware of its limitations as discussed in this guide. Currently, areas where there is limited knowledge of the performance of FRP reinforcement include fire resistance, durability in outdoor or severe exposure conditions, bond fatigue, and bond lengths for lap splices. Further research is needed to provide additional information in these areas.

Conventional concrete structures are reinforced with nonprestressed and prestressed steel. The steel is initially protected against corrosion by the alkalinity of the concrete, usually resulting in durable and serviceable construction. For many structures subjected to aggressive environments, such as marine structures, bridges, and parking garages exposed to deicing salts, combinations of moisture, temperature, and chlorides reduce the alkalinity of the concrete and result in the corrosion of reinforcing steel. The corrosion process ultimately causes concrete deterioration and loss of serviceability. To address corrosion problems, professionals have started using alternatives to bare steel bars, such as epoxy-coated steel bars and specialty concrete admixtures. While effective in some situations, such remedies may not be able to completely eliminate the problems of steel corrosion in reinforced concrete structures (Keesler and Powers 1988).

Recently, composite materials made of fibers embedded in a polymeric resin, also known as FRPs, have become an alternative to steel reinforcement for concrete structures. Because FRP materials are nonmagnetic and noncorrosive, the problems of electromagnetic interference and steel corrosion can be avoided with FRP reinforcement. Additionally, FRP materials exhibit several properties, such as high tensile strength, that make them suitable for use as structural reinforcement (ACI 440R; Benmokrane and Rahman 1998; Burgoyne 2001; Cosenza et al. 2001; Dolan et al. 1999; El-Badry 1996; Figueiras et al. 2001; Humar and Razaqpur 2000; Iyer and Sen 1991; Japan Society of Civil Engineers [JSCE] 1992; JSCE 1997a; Nanni 1993a; Nanni and Dolan 1993; Neale and Labossiere 1992; Saadatmanesh and Ehsani 1998; Taerwe 1995; Teng 2001; White 1992).

The mechanical behavior of FRP reinforcement differs from the behavior of conventional steel reinforcement. Accordingly, a change in the traditional design philosophy of concrete structures is needed for FRP reinforcement. FRP materials are anisotropic and are characterized by high tensile strength only in the direction of the reinforcing fibers. This anisotropic behavior affects the shear strength and dowel action of FRP bars as well as the bond performance. Furthermore, FRP materials do not yield; rather, they are elastic until failure. Design procedures must account for a lack of ductility in structural concrete members reinforced with FRP bars.

Other countries, such as Japan (JSCE 1997b) and Canada (Canadian Standards Association [CSA] 2000 and 2002), have established design procedures specifically for the use of FRP reinforcement for concrete structures. The analytical and experimental phases for FRP construction are sufficiently complete; therefore, this document establishes recommendations for the design of structural concrete reinforced with FRP bars.