

# fib Congress 2014 Mumbai



## PRECAST TUNNEL SEGMENTS REINFORCED WITH FIBER GLASS BARS



## Author/s Alberto Meda, Zila Rinaldi, Simone Spagnuolo

### Name of Presenter, Job Title / Affiliation Simone Spagnuolo, Ph.D. Student, Italy



• Born in 1986.

- Graduate(cum laude) in Civil Engineering at the University of Rome "Tor Vergata"(2011) with the thesis "Structural behavior of innovative fiber-reinforced concrete Containing Municipal Solid Waste Fly Ash with lightweight aggregates".
- Currently PhD student at the Department of Civil Engineering of the University of Rome "Tor Vergata", focused on the behaviour of structures reinforced with fiber glass bars (with particular reference to precast tunnel segments and skin reinforcement).
- Assistant with the chairs of "Structural Analysis and Design".
- Collaboration for tests: Bending & TBM jack thrust for hydroelectric plant(Panama); flat jacks in Tunnel(Francie); prestressing cables of a bridge (Italy).



## PRECAST TUNNEL SEGMENTS REINFORCED WITH FIBER GLASS BARS

(Alberto MEDA, Zila RINALDI, Simone SPAGNUOLO)



University of Rome "*TOR VERGATA*" Civil Engineering Department

Speaker: Simone SPAGNUOLO

spagnuolo@ing.uniroma2.it - meda@ing.uniroma2.it Civil Engineering Department, University of Rome "Tor Vergata", via del Politecnico 1 - 00133 Roma, Italy

•Experimental program

•Test results

•Design considerations

•Conclusions

The use of fiber glass rebars in substitution of traditional steel reinforcement allows a series of advantages and it could be an innovative solution in some applications but it is not suitable for all the applications for two main reasons:

1) The **cost** of the fiber glass reinforcement is generally higher respect to the traditional steel;

2) The problem related to **static fatigue** when the bar is subjected to a constant **tensile load** <u>over time</u>

The use of GFRP is suggested in:

- 2.1) Structures that are mainly in compression under the serviceability load conditions (deep tunnels, tunnels excavated in rock);
- 2.2) In temporary structures (also subject to tension).

#### •Introduction

•Experimental program

•Test results

•Design considerations

•Conclusions

### Our research is focused on the possibility of use GFRP in:

PRECAST TUNNEL SEGMENTS





Precast segments are traditionally used for the lining of tunnels excavated with a Tunnel Boring Machine (TBM) and they are used as reaction elements from the TBM during the excavation.











The use of GFRP bars in tunnel segments allows several advantages:

•Experimental program

•Test results

•Design considerations

•Conclusions

3) In case of TBM excavation where it will be necessary later to demolish or remove the precast segments, it is convenient to utilize GFRP reinforcement instead of the traditional steel.

Some of the most common applications:

• Segments to be demolished for realization of fire-safety niches.



The cutting of the reinforcement bars (GFRP) is useless.

The use of GFRP bars in tunnel segments allows several advantages:

## •Experimental program

•Test results

•Design considerations

•Conclusions

3) In case of TBM excavation where it will be necessary later to demolish or remove the precast segments, it is convenient to utilize GFRP reinforcement instead of the traditional steel.

Some of the most common applications:

• Construction of by-pass tunnels for access to adjacent tunnels or for realization of escape or vent channels.



•Experimental program

•Test results

•Design considerations

•Conclusions

The use of GFRP bars in tunnel segments allows several advantages:

3) In case of TBM excavation where it will be necessary later to demolish or remove the precast segments, it is convenient to utilize GFRP reinforcement instead of the traditional steel.

Some of the most common applications:

• Full section channels for transfer of TBM



Metro stations where for sake of time the TBM will cross an area to be later excavated, the utilization of segments with GFRP rebar reinforcements will facilitate the excavation.



Experimental program



Experimental program



### **GEOMETRY** of the segment





 $D_i = 8.30 m$  $D_e = 9.10 m$  Length = 4150 mm Width = 1500 mm thickness = 400 mm

Experimental program

#### •Introduction

#### •Experimental program

•Test results

•Design considerations

•Conclusions

### **REINFORCEMENT** of the segments

Traditional steel cage for the reference segment (SR)



Fiber Glass cage (FGR)



 $12\Phi12$  bars intrados surface  $12\Phi12$  bars extrados surface

 $12\Phi14$  bars intrados surface  $12\Phi12$  bars extrados surface

- In these tests, it was considered the same concrete <u>cover(minimum) of 50mm</u>
- The reinforcements were designed in order to have the same bending resistence
- The concrete is characterized by a cubic strength equal to 61 MPa

## Experimental program

#### •Introduction

### FULL SCALE BENDING TEST

•*Experimental* The segment was loaded by means of a electromechanical close loop jacket having a maximum capacity of 1000 kN.

#### •Test results

program

•Design considerations

During the test, the following measures were continuously registered:

• the load measured by means of •Conclusions 1000kN load cell with a a precision of 0.2%;



the midspan displacement • measured by means of three potentiometer wire transducers placed along the transverse line;

• the crack opening at midspan, measured by means of two LVDTs.



1) Portal frame 2) Load jack 3) Load sharing system

4) Roller supports 5) Constraint system



Test results



Test results



## •Experimental program

#### •Test results

•Design considerations

•Conclusions



THE CRACK PATTERN FOR DIFFERENT LOAD LEVEL

**Design considerations** 

•Introduction

•Experimental program

•Test results

•Design considerations

•Conclusions

### **BENDING MOMENT Vs AXIAL FORCE ENVELOPE**

Some considerations have to be done on the design aspect compared to the use of fiber glass rebars compared to the traditional reinforcement:

- 1. Design guidelines for fiber glass rebars (*fib* bulletin 40, ACI 440, CNR DT203) suggest to use:
  - ELASTO-BRITTLE behavior for the fiber glass rebars in tensionNO RESISTANCE IN COMPRESSION



2. The material safety coefficient is usually taken as 1.5 (CNR DT203) compared to 1.15 for the steel

**Design considerations** 

•Introduction

•Experimental program

#### •Test results

•Design considerations

•Conclusions



Some considerations have to be done on the design aspect related to the use of fiber glass rebars respect to the traditional reinforcement:

3. The reinforcement detail in the specimens was defined in order to have the same design bearing capacity for the two segments, at least in pure bending



4. If the average values of the material strength are considered, it is clear the higher bearing capacity exhibited by the segment with fiber glass rebars.



AVERAGE STRENGTH OF THE MATERIAL

Conclusion

#### •Introduction

•Experimental program

- •Test results
- •Design considerations

#### •Conclusions

- 1. Fiber glass rebars can be a solution in some problems that can arise in the segmental lining construction.
- 2. From the point of view of the structural behavior, there are not significant differences when the steel reinforcement is substituted with a fiber glass reinforcement. In fact, despite the brittleness of the fiber glass rebars, the structural behavior exhibited not only a significant strength but also adequate post peak displacements.
- 3. Flexural tests showed spreading of cracks in the intrados surface
- 4. On the base of the obtained results, fiber glass rebars can substitute the steel reinforcement in this kind of application.

Referring to the problems of durability of these structures, we are studying the use of GFRP as **skin reinforcement** 







## PRECAST TUNNEL SEGMENTS REINFORCED WITH FIBER GLASS BARS

(Alberto MEDA, Zila RINALDI, Simone SPAGNUOLO)



University of Rome *"TOR VERGATA"* Civil Engineering Department

Speaker: Simone SPAGNUOLO

<u>meda@ing.uniroma2.it</u> - <u>spagnuolo@ing.uniroma2.it</u> Civil Engineering Department, University of Rome "Tor Vergata", via del Politecnico 1 - 00133 Roma, Italy